

Government of Kerala
സംസ്ഥാന സർക്കാർ
2007



Kcg. No. 2007. നമ്പർ
KL/TV/(N)/12/2006-2008

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള റണ്ട്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

എത്രവികാസ കൗൺസിൽ ഓഫ് കേരള സർക്കാർ

Vol. LII	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY	11th September 2007 2007 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 11	No.	36
വാല്യം 52	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, കേരള	20th Bhadra 1929 1929 ഓഗസ്റ്റ് 20	നമ്പർ	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt) No. 1997/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Gundumalai Tea Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki

District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The President, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Durairaj, P. V. No. 2447 by the Management of Gundumalai Tea Estate, Munnar is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1998/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Accelerated Freeze Drying Company (Ltd.), Ezhupunna P. O., Cherthala and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, A. F. D. C. Employees Union, Ezhupunna P.O., Cherthala-638 548 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the Demands of the A. F. D. G. Employees Union, Ezhupunna P. O., Cherthala are justifiable ? If so, what reliefs they are entitled to?"

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1999/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Nagarjuna Herbal Concentrates Ltd., Alakode, Kalayanthani P. O., Thodupuzha, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri K. Radhakrishnan, Alappurath Kizhakkakka Puthenveedu, Cherupoika P.O., Puthoor, Kottarakkara, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Radhakrishnan, Branch-in-charge, Kottarakkara of M/s Nagarjuna Herbal Concentrates Ltd., Alakode, Kalayanthani P.O., Thodupuzha, Idukki with effect from 22-4-2004 is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?"

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2000/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Lockheart Estate, Devikulam P.O., Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Smt. Velankanni, P. F. No. 2480 and Sri Ramar, P. F. No. 2646 workers of Lockheart Estate, Devikulam is justifiable ? If not, what are the relief entitled to them?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2001/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Rajeswari Rajendran, 'Bhakthapriya', House No. 7, Sterling Gardens, Near Kathukadavu, Overbridge, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Sajan, P. G., Kaituparambil House, Kuzhimattom P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. G. Sajan, Office Assistant, in Raju Dairy by the management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2002/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. T. Mathew, Kalpana House, Palampra P. O., Kanjirappally and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Rajan Antony, s/o K. K. Antony, Puthenpurackal, Palampra P. O., Kanjirappally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of Rubber Tapping to Sri Rajan Antony, Rubber Tapper by the employer Sri K. T. Mathew is justifiable? If not, what relief workmen is entitled to?"

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2003/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jose, Emprayil, Mukkali, Palamparam P. O., Kottayam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, All Kerala Rubber Tappers Association (AKRTA), Mukkali Unit, Palamparam P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of work to Sri P. P. Raju, Rubber Tapper by Sri Jose, Emprayil employer, is justifiable? If not, what relief the workmen is entitled to?"

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2004/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jose Sunil (Regd. Owner), KL2-R-5155, J. R. Travels, Vayalil Veedu, Vadakkumbhagamchery, Eravipuram P. O., Kollam, (2) Sri George Joseph (Operating Owner), KL2-R-5155, J.R. Travels, (Mukthyarkaran of Jose Sunil) Vayalil Veedu, Vadakkumbhagamchery, Eravipuram P. O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Reghunathan, Bunglow Veedu, Eravipuram P.O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of employment of Sri P. Reghunathan, Driver, KL2-R-5155 J. R. Travels is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2005/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Thazhava Central Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham (Ltd.) Q-134 (D) (APCOS), Manappally North P.O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Sureshkumar, Kollayil House, North East Thazhava, Manappally P. O., Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of Sri N. Suresh-Kumar, Milk Tester/Office Assistant by the management of M/s. Thazhava Central Ksheerolpadaka Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 134 (D) (APCOS) is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2006/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Boyce Rubber Estate Private Ltd., Mundakkayam P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Estate Thozhilali Union, Reg. No. 170/70, Peermade P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Kunjumole, Remani, Surendran and Ponnamma Bai by the management of M/s. Boyce Rubber Estate Pvt. Ltd. Mundakkayam is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2007/2007/LBR:

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, The Alappay Company Ltd., P.B. No 2602, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri R. Murali, Mundukattil Veedu, Punnappa P. O., Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri R. Murali, Production Assistant, Alleppey Company Ltd. (Mundukattil Veedu, Punnappa P. O., Alappuzha) by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(12)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 2016/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Hotel Pankaj, Statue, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Thiruvananthapuram Luxury Hotels Employees Union, (CITU), Reg. No. 50/82, Motor Bhavan, T. C. 28/2763, Kuthiravattom Road, Kumumpuram, G. P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial

dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of service of Smt. P. Chandrika, House Keeping Supervisor and Sri Somasekharan, T. Bellman by the Management of Hotel Pankaj, Statue, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

By order of the Governor,
SUSY EAPEN,
Under Secretary to Government.